UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,862	09/30/2005	Roman Stauch	05-565	6071
	7590 11/04/200 LAPOINTE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
900 CHAPEL S		SIGLER, JAY R		
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN,	CT 06510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/551,86	32	STAUCH, ROMAN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		JAY R. SI		3775				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply with the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply with the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply will. Set a state than three months after the material part of the set of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THE ALL STATES AND ALL STATE	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tinular to the source of	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	8 July 2008						
·			on-final					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥/ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,18-20 and 23-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,18-20 and 23-30</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
	• The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner						
•	-		Ohiected to by the I	Evaminer				
.0/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	<u>-</u>	sian priority un	dor 25119 C \$ 110/a	\ (d) or (f)				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen			🗖 .					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Discrete of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 3775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15, 18, 20, 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baumgart et al. (U.S. Patent 5,976,138) in view of Baumgart et al. (Clinical Orthopaedics 1997).
 - a. Concerning claim 15, in U.S. Patent 5,976,138, Baumgart et al. teaches a device for lengthening bones (Figures 1 through 8), comprising at least two elements (see Figure 2) which can be moved relative to one another, and including at least one locking element (taken to be embodied by part 20 and 81 together) axially movable in or along a guide element 10. U.S. Patent 5,976,138 discloses means (18, as a rotational actuator, and 30, as a threaded rod) for moving the at least one locking element in or along the guide element. U.S. Patent 5,976,138 discloses means comprising at least one drive unit (18, as a rotational actuator, and 30, as a threaded rod). U.S. Patent 5,976,138 discloses the spindle element comprises a threaded rod 30 which passes through the at least one locking element (taken to be embodied by part 20 and 81 together) and engages with the at least one lock (see Figure 2).

Art Unit: 3775

U.S. Patent 5,976,138 does not disclose a motor element, with a downstream gear and control unit as the drive unit.

Clinical Orthopaedics 1997 discloses a bone lengthening device that uses a motor drive with a gear and spindle mechanism (see page 136, Materials and Methods, The Nail) and a control unit (taken to be embodied by the antenna used to control the motor) because it combines the advantages of using an internal bone device (taken to be embodied by a nail) with those of an implantable source of power (see page 136, 3rd paragraph). It would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a geared motor drive suggested by Clinical Orthopaedics 1997 with the invention of U.S. Patent 5,976,138 in order to combine the advantages of using an internal bone device with those of an implantable source of power. Additionally, Clinical Orthopaedics 1997 suggests a motor drive with a gear and spindle mechanism and a control unit as a known drive unit to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. It would have been within the skill of someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select a motor drive with a gear and spindle mechanism and a control unit as a suitable drive unit.

- b. Concerning claim 18, U.S. Patent 5,976,138 teaches the guide element 10 has an elongate, continuous guide slot 16.
- c. Concerning claim 20, U.S. Patent 5,976,138 teaches the guide element 10 comprises, at one end, a receiving opening (see Figure 2 and 4) for the reception and engagement of a drive unit.

Art Unit: 3775

d. Concerning claim 24, U.S. Patent 5,976,138 teaches the locking element (20 and 81) comprises a rectangular cross section (as seen in Figure 2) and engages at least partially over an outside of the guide slot of the guide element (bolt 81, which is taken to be part of the locking element, is seen over an outside of the guide slot 16 of the guide element 10 in Figures 6 through 8).

- e. Concerning claim 27, U.S. Patent 5,976,138 teaches the drive unit 18 is pushed axially into the receiving opening (seen in Figure 2), and a motor (taken to mean one that imparts motion, (1997). In *Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary*. Tenth Edition) element 18 is fitted against rotation in the guide element 10 (Column 5, Lines 53-59; taken to be embodied by actuator 18 attached to the abutment; attached taken to mean to join, fasten, or connect, (2000). In *Collins English Dictionary*. Retrieved September 19, 2007, from http://www.credoreference.com/entry/2619187) in the area of the receiving opening (seen in Figure 2).
- f. Concerning claim 28, U.S. Patent 5,976,138 teaches at one end of the guide element, there is a recess (taken to be embodied by the groove on the surface of the 10, 18, or 20; recess. 1992. In *Academic Press Dictionary of Science and Technology*. Retrieved September 17, 2007, from http://www.credoreference.com/entry/3150051) for, or alternatively capable of, bearing a spindle element 30.
- g. Concerning claim 29 and 30, while U.S. Patent 5,976,138, in view of
 Clinical Orthopaedics 1997, does not suggest having a second locking element,

Art Unit: 3775

adding a second locking element is considered mere duplication of a part. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an additional locking element to the modified invention of U.S. Patent 5,976,138, in view of Clinical Orthopaedics 1997, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ. *Note:* the limitation of the locking elements being driven toward is considered functional and would have been within the skills of someone of ordinary skill in the art.

3. Claim 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baumgart et al. (U.S. Patent 5,976,138) in view of Baumgart et al. (Clinical Orthopaedics 1997) as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Muschler et al. (U.S. Patent 5,626,579). U.S. Patent 5,976,138, in view of Clinical Orthopaedics 1997, fairly suggests the claimed invention except for the guide element comprising, at each end, radial through-openings. Muschler et al. also teaches the guide element comprises, at each end, a radial through-openings (see Figure 1A, where parts 33 go through the guide element 32) in order to attach the guide element to the bone at both ends (see Figure 1A). It would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have radial through openings at both ends of the guide element in the modified invention of U.S. Patent 5,976,138, in view of Clinical Orthopaedics 1997 and Muschler et al., in order to attach the guide element to the bone at both ends.

Art Unit: 3775

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 28 July 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

5. Applicant's arguments concerning claim 15 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Furthermore, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. *Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp.*, 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983). Furthermore, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

6. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "mov[ing] two locking elements on one spindle in opposite directions", see page 7 of the previous arguments) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). It is noted that the language "drawn toward" can broadly be interpreted to mean that one locking element is drawn in the direction of the other, which the '138 reference is capable.

Art Unit: 3775

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAY R. SIGLER whose telephone number is (571)270-3647. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8 AM to 4 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. R. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 3775 /Eduardo C. Robert/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733